

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,217	02/21/2002	Gregory R. Mundy	A061CIP2	5114
26161	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			HADDAD, MAHER M	
BOSTON, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
		DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T-2				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/086,217	MUNDY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maher M. Haddad	1644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/20/03 and 6/21/03.						
		action is non-final.				
3)	·					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 51-85 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 51-85 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	e tent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/086,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 51-85 are pending.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 52-54, 56-57 and 59-61, drawn to methods of treating multiple myeloma with a composition comprising an antagonist, wherein the antagonist is a small molecule, wherein the small mall molecule is BIO-8809, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 51 and 53-61, drawn to methods of treating multiple myeloma with a composition comprising an antagonist, wherein the antagonist is an antagonist of VLA-4, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - III. Claims 64-66, 68-69, and 71-73, drawn to methods of inhibiting bone resorption associated with tumors of bone marrow with an antagonist, wherein the antagonist is a small molecule, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - IV. Claims 63 and 65-73, drawn to methods of inhibiting bone resorption associated with tumors of bone marrow with an antagonist, wherein the antagonist is an antagonist of VLA4, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - V. Claims 76-78, 80-81 and 83-85, drawn to methods of treating a subject having a disorder characterized by the presence of osteoclastogenesis with small molecules, wherein the small mall molecule is BIO-8809, classified in Class 514, subclass 2.
 - VI. Claims 75 and 77-85, drawn to methods of treating a subject having a disorder characterized by the presence of osteoclastogenesis with an antagonist of VLA4, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.

Claim 1 is a linking claim and will be examined along with elected Group I or II.

Claim 62 is a linking claim and will be examined along with elected Group III or IV.

Claim 74 is a linking claim and will be examined along with elected Group V or VI.

- 3. Groups I-VI are different methods. A method of treating multiple myeloma, inhibiting bone resorption, and treating a disorder characterized by osteoclatogenesis differ with respect to ingredients (i.e. various antagonist of VLA-4 and compounds), and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 4. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods

Application/Control Number: 10/086,217

Art Unit: 1644

of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention.

Species Election

- 5. Irrespective of whichever group applicant may elect, applicant is further required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed species to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.
 - A. If any one of Groups I-VI is elected, applicant is required to elect a single specific compound such as A) chemotherapeutic agent B) melphalan, C) a biophosphonate, D) Thalidomide, E) erythropoietin, or F) an antagonist of IL6 or G) an antagonist of IL15. These species are distinct species because their structures and modes of action are different which, in turn, address different therapeutic endpoints.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maher Haddad whose telephone number is (571) 272-0845. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maher Haddad, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 April 2, 2004

CHRISTINA CHAN

CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

OF COMOLOGY CENTER 1600